

In the United States Court of Federal Claims
OFFICE OF SPECIAL MASTERS
No. 21-0765V
(not to be published)

FRANCES TRAIETTA,

Petitioner,

v.

SECRETARY OF HEALTH AND
HUMAN SERVICES,

Respondent.

Chief Special Master Corcoran

Filed: June 22, 2023

Special Processing Unit (SPU);
Attorney's Fees and Costs

Michael Pottetti, Law Office, Port Jefferson, NY, for Petitioner.

Katherine Carr Esposito, U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, DC, for Respondent.

DECISION ON ATTORNEY'S FEES AND COSTS¹

On January 19, 2021, Frances Traietta filed a petition for compensation under the National Vaccine Injury Compensation Program, 42 U.S.C. §300aa-10, *et seq.*² (the "Vaccine Act"). Petitioner alleges that she received an influenza vaccination in her left deltoid on October 11, 2019, and thereafter suffered from a shoulder injury related to vaccine administration. Petition at 1. On May 2, 2023, I issued a decision awarding compensation to Petitioner based on the Respondent's proffer. ECF No. 34.

¹ Because this Decision contains a reasoned explanation for the action taken in this case, it must be made publicly accessible and will be posted on the United States Court of Federal Claims' website, and/or at <https://www.govinfo.gov/app/collection/uscourts/national/cofc>, in accordance with the E-Government Act of 2002. 44 U.S.C. § 3501 note (2018) (Federal Management and Promotion of Electronic Government Services). **This means the Decision will be available to anyone with access to the internet.** In accordance with Vaccine Rule 18(b), Petitioner has 14 days to identify and move to redact medical or other information, the disclosure of which would constitute an unwarranted invasion of privacy. If, upon review, I agree that the identified material fits within this definition, I will redact such material from public access.

² National Childhood Vaccine Injury Act of 1986, Pub. L. No. 99-660, 100 Stat. 3755. Hereinafter, for ease of citation, all section references to the Vaccine Act will be to the pertinent subparagraph of 42 U.S.C. § 300aa (2018).

Petitioner has now filed a motion for attorney's fees and costs, requesting an award of \$10,485.23 (representing \$9,871.00 for fees and \$614.23 for costs). Petitioner's Application for Attorney's Fees and Costs ("Motion") filed May 7, 2023, ECF No. 38. In accordance with General Order No. 9, Petitioner filed a signed statement indicating that Petitioner incurred no out-of-pocket expenses. ECF No. 39.

Respondent reacted to the motion on May 8, 2023, indicating that he is satisfied that the statutory requirements for an award of attorney's fees and costs are met in this case and therefore, does not oppose Petitioner's request. Respondent's Response to Motion at 1, ECF No. 40. Petitioner did not file a reply thereafter.

I have reviewed the billing records submitted with Petitioner's requests and find a reduction in the amount of fees to be awarded appropriate, for the reason listed below.

ANALYSIS

The Vaccine Act permits an award of reasonable attorney's fees and costs for successful claimants. Section 15(e). Counsel must submit fee requests that include contemporaneous and specific billing records indicating the service performed, the number of hours expended on the service, and the name of the person performing the service. See *Savin v. Sec'y of Health & Human Servs.*, 85 Fed. Cl. 313, 316-18 (2008). Counsel should not include in their fee requests hours that are "excessive, redundant, or otherwise unnecessary." *Saxton v. Sec'y of Health & Human Servs.*, 3 F.3d 1517, 1521 (Fed. Cir. 1993) (quoting *Hensley v. Eckerhart*, 461 U.S. 424, 434 (1983)). It is "well within the special master's discretion to reduce the hours to a number that, in [her] experience and judgment, [is] reasonable for the work done." *Id.* at 1522. Furthermore, the special master may reduce a fee request *sua sponte*, apart from objections raised by respondent and without providing a petitioner notice and opportunity to respond. See *Sabella v. Sec'y of Health & Human Servs.*, 86 Fed. Cl. 201, 209 (2009). A special master need not engage in a line-by-line analysis of petitioner's fee application when reducing fees. *Broekelschen v. Sec'y of Health & Human Servs.*, 102 Fed. Cl. 719, 729 (2011).

The petitioner "bears the burden of establishing the hours expended, the rates charged, and the expenses incurred." *Wasson v. Sec'y of Health & Human Servs.*, 24 Cl. Ct. 482, 484 (1991). The Petitioner "should present adequate proof [of the attorney's fees and costs sought] at the time of the submission." *Wasson*, 24 Cl. Ct. at 484 n.1. Petitioner's counsel "should make a good faith effort to exclude from a fee request hours that are excessive, redundant, or otherwise unnecessary, just as a lawyer in private

practice ethically is obligated to exclude such hours from his fee submission.” *Hensley*, 461 U.S. at 434.

ATTORNEY FEES

Petitioner requests compensation for attorney Michael Pottetti at the following rates: \$250 per hour for time billed in 2020; \$260 per hour for time billed in 2021; \$290 per hour for time billed in 2022; and \$300 per hour for time billed in 2023. ECF No. 38-1. Some of these rates require adjustment.

Mr. Pottetti was previously awarded the lesser rate of \$225 per hour for time billed in 2020; less than what is being requested herein. See *Blaise v. Sec'y of Health & Hum. Servs.*, No. 19-670V, 2021 WL 851086 (Fed. Cl. Spec. Mstr. Jan. 26, 2020). Additionally, retroactive rate increases are not permitted in the Vaccine Program. See, e.g. *Ramirez v. Sec'y of Health & Hum. Servs.*, No. 16-1180V, 2019 WL 948385, at *2 (Fed. Cl. Spec. Mstr. Jan. 30, 2019) (noting that counsel “should only submit billing logs that reflect the hourly rate previously awarded to him.”). I find no reason to deviate from the previously awarded rate. Accordingly, I reduce Mr. Pottetti’s rate to be awarded herein to what he has been permitted for work performed in the 2020 timeframe, as mentioned above. This results in a reduction of attorney’s fees to be awarded of **\$140.00**.³

Furthermore, Petitioner has requested the rates of \$260 per hour for time billed in 2021; \$290 per hour for time billed in 2022; and \$300 per hour for time billed in 2023. I find these hourly rates to be reasonable and will award the attorney’s fees requested for time billed in the 2021-23 timeframe.

Lastly, Petitioner has provided supporting documentation for all claimed costs. Attachment to Motion at 7-16. Respondent offered no specific objection to the rates or amounts sought.

CONCLUSION

The Vaccine Act permits an award of reasonable attorney’s fees and costs for successful claimants. Section 15(e). Accordingly, I hereby GRANT Petitioner’s Motion for attorney’s fees and costs. I award a total of **\$10,345.23** (representing **\$9,731.00** for fees and **\$614.23** in costs) as a lump sum in the form of a check jointly payable to Petitioner and Petitioner’s counsel, Michael Pottetti.

³ This amount consists of (\$250 - \$225 = \$25 x 5.60 hrs = \$140.00)

In the absence of a timely-filed motion for review (see Appendix B to the Rules of the Court), the Clerk of Court shall enter judgment in accordance with this Decision.⁴

IT IS SO ORDERED.

s/Brian H. Corcoran

Brian H. Corcoran

Chief Special Master

⁴ Pursuant to Vaccine Rule 11(a), the parties may expedite entry of judgment by filing a joint notice renouncing their right to seek review.